PRI Report

Caja Ingenieros Gestión SGIIC, SAU
2026 PRI Reporting Framework

Terms and Conditions

1. Introduction

1.1 The following terms and conditions form the Agreement agreed between your corporate entity ("you", "your") and PRI Association ("PRI", "we," "us", "our"), Registered Company No: 720794, Registered Office: 1st Floor, 20 Wood Street, City of London, UK, EC2V 7AF in relation to the use of, and submission of data to, the Reporting Tool.

1.2 By clicking on the button marked "I Accept" before you access the Reporting Tool on the PRI Website or by using the Reporting Tool, you agree to be legally bound by this Agreement.

1.3 Your use of the Data Portal is governed by the Data Portal terms and conditions, which can be found in the Data Portal. The Data Portal terms and conditions are hereby incorporated by reference. In the event of a conflict between this Agreement and the Data Portal terms and conditions, this Agreement shall prevail.

1.4 The definitions that apply in the Agreement are:

Terms	Definitions
"Aggregated Data"	means data from which it is not possible to identify a Signatory or determine or otherwise infer an individual Signatory's responses to Indicators.
"Assessment Peering Data"	means aggregate data compiled from a group of at least 15 Signatories which compares the Signatories based on various categories including geography, region, country, asset class, AUM group and year of becoming a Signatory.
"Authorised Third Parties"	means third parties that PRI works with or otherwise co-operates with to further its purposes, including but not limited to academics, charities or not-for-profits and peer organisations.
"Confidential Information"	means any information of a confidential nature obtained under this Agreement, or relating to this Agreement, including information of any kind relating to business activities, practices, finances, strategic plans, ideas, designs and innovations, but not including information: 1. in the public domain (otherwise than by breach of this Agreement); 2. in the lawful possession of the receiving party before the date of this Agreement (other than through liaison between the parties before and in anticipation of this Agreement); or 3. obtained from a third party free to divulge it.
"Controller"	has the meaning given in the Data Protection Legislation.
"Data Portal"	means a cloud-based platform used by the PRI to host Reports.
"Data Protection Legislation"	means all applicable national, international and local laws, rules, regulations or directives concerning data protection, information security, cyber security, data privacy and data breach notification including, without limitation and where applicable, the Data Protection Act 2018 and the UK GDPR, each as amended from time to time and any successor laws, rules, legislation, regulation or directives.
"De-listing" or "De-listed"	means the process of ceasing Signatory status. Unless PRI gives special dispensation, Signatories meeting the eligibility requirements (specified in the PRI Association Rules) that fail to complete the Reporting Framework in accordance with the Required Reporting Cadence will be De-listed.

"Indicators"	means a question designed to obtain specific information from you on elements of your responsible investment policies/activities. All indicators are mandatory to report. The Organisational Profiling questions are not considered Indicators.
"Insights"	means PRI reports, insights, guidance or other works intended for publication or sharing with Authorised Third Parties.
"Intellectual Property Rights"	means all patents, trademarks, copyright, database and design rights (whether registered or not) and all other intellectual property rights (of every kind and nature however designated) whether arising by operation of law, treaty, contract, license or otherwise.
"Mapping against external reporting regulations/ standards"	means the mapping done by PRI whereby we have mapped PRI reporting against a number of key regulations and standards, to help signatories manage their reporting requirements. The intention is to help signatories understand the connections between PRI reporting requirements and the various regulations and standards they may be reporting against. The mapping in the explanatory notes of each Indicator provides a high-level summary identifying where meeting the PRI reporting requirements may inform disclosure against external reporting requirements and vice versa. It is intended to be used alongside the relevant regulations and standards to support signatories when preparing to report. Please note, this material does not constitute compliance advice, imply equivalency between PRI reporting and the referenced regulations and standards, nor indicate the content of future PRI reporting requirements.
"Minimum Requirements"	means the minimum requirements for membership of the PRI as set out on the PRI's Website or as otherwise communicated by the PRI, from time to time. Signatories that do not meet the Minimum Requirements for 2 consecutive years may be De-listed.
"Network Partners"	means third party organisations that work together with PRI to co-ordinate or provide a secretariat function to NZAOA or NZAM.
"NZAM"	means the Net Zero Asset Managers Initiative.
"NZAOA"	means the Net Zero Asset Owner Alliance.

"Organisational Profiling questions"	means a question designed to obtain specific information from you necessary to drive the logic of the Reporting Framework (for example, % assets under management in Private Equity), or to profile you for peering and contextualisation purposes. These questions are separate to the Indicators.
"Organisational Profiling Report"	means a Report containing only your responses to the Organisational Profiling questions.
"Personal Data"	has the meaning given in the Data Protection Legislation.
"PRI Materials"	means: (i) any PRI materials provided or made available to you under this Agreement including but not limited to the Reporting Framework, the Reporting Tool, PRI support tools and guidance, the Data Portal and Reports and; (ii) any underlying Intellectual Property Rights contained therein; in each case, excluding any Signatory Materials included or incorporated into the PRI materials.
"PRI Website"	unless otherwise indicated, means the PRI's website at www.unpri.org, including MyPRI (as applicable).
"Private Assessment Report"	means a Report showing your assessment for each Indicator calculated based on PRI's own proprietary assessment methodology, which assesses data collected through the Reporting Framework and a comparison against your peers.
"Private Transparency Report"	means a Report for Signatories that chose to report in their Reporting Grace Period.
"Senior Leadership Accountability Report"	means a Report containing only your responses to the Senior leadership accountability (SLA) section of the Reporting Framework.
"Publication Guidelines"	means the publication guidelines published from time to time on the PRI's Website.
"Report(s)"	means a: Senior Leadership Accountability Report; Transparency Report; Private Transparency Report; Private Assessment Report; and/or Organisational Profiling Report, as applicable.
"Reporting Framework"	means a set of questions and content developed by PRI which is hosted on the Reporting Tool and through which PRI collects information on responsible investment activities.
"Reporting Grace Period"	Means the first calendar year in which you become a Signatory and the following year. For example, if you join on 31 March 2025, you will not be required to complete the Reporting Framework in 2025 or 2026, although you may choose to do so on a voluntary basis.

"Reporting Tool"	means a third-party cloud-based platform licensed to PRI that hosts the Reporting Framework.
"Required Reporting Cadence"	means the required reporting cadence for completing the Reporting Framework, as set out in clause 4 of this Agreement.
"Score(s)"	means the PRI-awarded score(s) set out in your Private Assessment Report.
"Signatory"	means a signatory to the Principles of Responsible Investment.
"Signatory Materials"	means: (i) any signatory materials provided or made available to the PRI under this Agreement including but not limited to your responses to Indicators; and (ii) any underlying Intellectual Property Rights contained therein; in each case, excluding any PRI Materials included or incorporated into the Signatory materials.
"Transparency Report"	means a Report containing all of your responses to the Indicators.

2. Obligations of PRI

2.1 Subject to the terms and conditions set out in this Agreement, PRI will:

2.1.1 make the Reporting Tool available to you;

2.1.2 provide you with reasonable support and assistance to complete the Reporting Framework, including in the form of online guidance; and

2.1.3 provide you with your Reports: Senior Leadership Accountability Report; Transparency Report; Private Transparency Report (where applicable); Private Assessment Report; and Organisational Profiling Report.

3. Your obligations

3.1 Subject to the terms and condition set out in this Agreement, you will:

3.1.1 complete the Reporting Framework in accordance with the Required Reporting Cadence;

3.1.2 use your best endeavours to ensure the accuracy of all information you submit to the Reporting Tool; and

3.1.3 review the content of your Report(s) before publishing them or sharing them.

4. Required Reporting Cadence

4.1 All Signatories must complete the Reporting Framework annually unless one of the following exemptions applies:

4.1.1 The Signatory is in their Reporting Grace Period; or

4.1.2 The signatory has been granted a formal exemption by the PRI under recognised extenuating circumstances, at PRI's sole discretion.

4.2 During the Reporting Grace Period, completion of the Reporting Framework is voluntary but you may complete the Reporting Framework if you wish. Please note that if you join part-way through a calendar year, you will only be able to complete the Reporting Framework for that year if the PRI is able to add you to the Reporting Tool in advance of the reporting window opening.

4.3 All Signatories must complete the Reporting Framework by the deadline for submission set by the PRI. If you have not completed and submitted responses to the Reporting Tool by the set deadline, PRI shall not be obliged to provide you with your Reports for that year.

5. Production of Reports

5.1 PRI will produce your Reports based on the data you submit to the Reporting Tool and, in the case of your Private Assessment Report, PRI's assessment methodology.

5.2 PRI may, with your express permission, amend your completed and/or submitted responses to the Reporting Tool prior to publication of your Reports.

5.3 During the reporting year, acting reasonably, PRI may change the assessment methodology at any time before you receive your Private Assessment Report.

5.4 PRI retains the right to delay or refuse publication of your Reports if PRI has reasonable doubt about the accuracy or veracity of the information provided by you.

6. Publication of Reports

6.1 If you choose to complete the Reporting Framework during the Reporting Grace Period on a voluntary basis, you may choose not to publish the resulting Private Transparency Report on the Data Portal.

6.2 Subject to clause 6.1 (your right to choose not to publish your Private Transparency Report during the Reporting Grace Period), the PRI will publish your Reports on the Data Portal as follows:

Report	**Made available to:**
Senior Leadership Accountability Report	All Data Portal users (i.e., including non-Signatories)
Transparency Report	All PRI Signatories
Private Transparency Report	All PRI Signatories (unless you have chosen not to publish, as set out in clause 6.1 above)
Private Assessment Report	Signatory only (i.e., private to the Signatory that is the subject of the Report)
Organisational Profiling Report	Signatory only (i.e., private to the Signatory that is the subject of the Report)

6.3 Subject to clause 6.1 (your right to choose not to publish your Private Transparency Report during the Reporting Grace Period), the PRI may also publish your responses to individual Indicators contained in your published Senior Leadership Accountability Report, Transparency Report or Private Transparency Report).

6.4 Subject to this clause 6 and clauses 7 to 9 inclusive (PRI's use and sharing of data), PRI will not publish or otherwise disclose your Reports or responses to individual Indictors.

6.5 You may choose to publish your responses and/or one or more of your Reports, subject to the restrictions on publication set out in clause 11.2.

7. PRI's use of data

7.1 The PRI may use any data you submit to the Reporting Tool, your Reports and Assessment Peering Data as set out in this Agreement.

7.2 Subject to any restrictions or duties of confidentiality set out in this Agreement, PRI may use any data you submit to the Reporting Tool, your Reports and Assessment Peering Data:

7.2.1 to develop the Minimum Requirements applicable to all Signatories;

7.2.2 to establish whether you have met those Minimum Requirements;

7.2.3 for its own internal purposes, including internal research and internal analysis;

7.2.4 to improve the Reporting Tool and related guidance and support tools;

7.2.5 to develop new products and services in furtherance of PRI's purposes;

7.2.6 to improve the PRI's assessment methodology; and

7.2.7 for the creation of Insights based on Aggregated Data only.

7.3 PRI shall be entitled to generate data on functionality and usage of the Reporting Tool and to obtain information on the most commonly searched, shared, requested and viewed reports.

7.4 PRI will retain any data you submit to the Reporting Tool, your Reports and any tracking data generated under clause 7.4 in accordance with its own retention policies, as determined by PRI from time to time.

8. PRI's sharing of data with Authorised Third Parties

8.1 PRI may share your responses to Indicators contained in your Senior Leadership Accountability Report with Authorised Third Parties.

8.2 The PRI may share your responses to Indicators in your Transparency Report, Private Transparency Report, Private Assessment Report and/or Organisational Profiling Report with Authorised Third Parties, provided that those Authorised Third Parties are:

8.2.1 Subject to obligations of confidentiality no less binding or rigorous than the obligations of confidentiality set out herein;

8.2.2 Prohibited from publishing or otherwise disclosing shared data to third parties unless that shared data is Aggregated Data.

8.3 The PRI may charge Authorised Third Parties a fee for access to any shared data.

9. PRI's sharing of data with NZAM and NZAOA

9.1 If you are a member of NZAM or NZAOA, PRI may share your responses to specific Indicators with the relevant Network Partner(s), for the purposes of fulfilling your progress reporting obligations to NZAM or NZAOA (as applicable).

10.PRI's use of your AUM to calculate your fees

10.1 Regardless of whether you have formally completed the Reporting Tool or not, PRI will use the 'assets under management' figure that you provide to PRI by no later than 31 March in any given year, in order to calculate your annual fee for the period starting on 1 April of that same year.

10.2 If PRI subsequently reasonably determines that your annual fee is higher than the amount that PRI has invoiced, PRI shall be entitled to issue another invoice for the difference and you shall promptly pay such invoice.

11.Your use and sharing of your Reports

11.1 You may use your responses and/or Reports for your own internal business purposes and as otherwise set out in this Agreement.

11.2 You may share your responses and/or Reports in any way you see fit, provided you comply with the Publication Guidelines, which are hereby incorporated by reference. For example, any references to your Scores should be accompanied by the context of your full Private Assessment Report.

12.Status of Reports

12.1 Reports do not constitute investment or legal advice. Reports can be solely regarded and interpreted as an indication of resources allocated to responsible investment, a learning tool for identifying areas of strengths and weaknesses in responsible investment incorporation as well as a supplement for dialogue between Signatories and their clients and beneficiaries.

12.2 Reports are based solely on information submitted directly by you and on PRI's assessment methodology. The underlying information has not been audited or otherwise verified by PRI or any other party acting on its behalf.

12.3 While every effort has been made to produce a fair representation of performance, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of Reports or any downstream analysis of the data on which those Reports are based. PRI accepts no responsibility or liability for damage caused by use of or reliance on the information contained within any Report or downstream analysis of the data on which those Reports are based, including but not limited to Insights.

13.Your use of the Reporting Tool

13.1 You must treat your account login details including your username and password as confidential. You must not disclose them to any third party. We have the right to disable your user login details or your account, at any time, if we suspect that your account has been compromised. If you know or suspect that your account has been compromised, you must promptly notify us at reporting@unpri.org.

13.2 You shall not, under any circumstances, have any access to the underlying tools (e.g. features, configurations, software / cloud platforms and methodologies) of PRI and PRI's licensors.

13.3 You shall not conduct, facilitate, authorise or permit any text or data mining or web scraping in relation to the Reporting Tool or any services provided via, or in relation to, the Reporting Tool. This includes using (or permitting, authorising or attempting the use of):

13.3.1 Any "robot", "bot", "spider", "scraper" or other automated device, program, tool, algorithm, code, process or methodology to access, obtain, copy, monitor or republish any portion of the site or any data, content, information or services accessed via the same.

13.3.2 Any automated analytical technique aimed at analysing text and data in digital form to generate information which includes but is not limited to patterns, trends and correlations.

13.4 PRI reserves the right to temporarily suspend your access to the Reporting Tool if it reasonably believes that you are not complying with the terms and conditions relating to use of the Reporting Tool set out in this clause 13.

13.5 The provisions in this clause 13 should be treated as an express reservation of our rights in this regard, including for the purposes of Article 4(3) of Digital Copyright Directive ((EU) 2019/790).

13.6 This clause 13 shall not apply insofar as (but only to the extent that) we are unable to exclude or limit text or data mining or web scraping activity by contract under the laws which are applicable to us.

13.7 The PRI will take reasonable steps to address any technical problems associated with the Reporting Tool whenever reported by you (or otherwise identified by us) as soon as is reasonably practical. Notwithstanding the foregoing, PRI provides the Reporting Tool on an "as is" and "as available" basis. PRI makes no guarantee that the Reporting Tool will be uninterrupted, error free, or free from viruses or other harmful components. While PRI takes reasonable precautions to prevent the existence of computer viruses and/or other malicious programs in the Reporting Tool, we accept no liability for them. Except as expressly set out in this Agreement, and to the extent permitted by law, the PRI excludes all conditions, warranties, representations or other terms which may apply to the Reporting Tool, whether express or implied.

14.Intellectual Property Rights

14.1 Nothing in this Agreement shall operate to transfer ownership of the Signatory Materials to PRI. You, and where relevant your licensors, retain all Intellectual Property Rights in the Signatory Materials. PRI shall not copy, amend or make use of any of the Signatory Materials, other than as expressly permitted by this Agreement.

14.2 To the extent that Intellectual Property Rights subsist in any of your Signatory Materials, you hereby grant PRI a transferrable, sublicensable, worldwide, royalty-free licence to use such Intellectual Property Rights solely to meet our obligations under this Agreement and for the purposes set out herein.

14.3 Nothing in this Agreement shall operate to transfer ownership of the PRI Materials to you. PRI, and where relevant its licensors, retain all Intellectual Property Rights in the PRI Materials. You shall not copy, amend or make use of any of the PRI Materials, other than as expressly permitted by this Agreement.

14.4 To the extent that Intellectual Property Rights subsist in any of the PRI Materials, PRI hereby grants to you a non-transferable, worldwide, royalty-free licence to use the PRI Materials solely to meet your obligations under this Agreement and for the purposes set out herein, and without the right to sub-licence.

14.5 Without prejudice to the foregoing, PRI acknowledges that all Intellectual Property Rights in your name, trademarks and logos (and any associated goodwill) belong to and shall remain your property. PRI may not bring, defend or settle any action in relation to your name, trademarks or logos. Where you are involved in any claim or proceeding in relation to your name, trademarks or logos, PRI will give its reasonable co-operation to you in relation to those claims or proceedings.

14.6 Without prejudice to the foregoing, you acknowledge that all Intellectual Property Rights in the PRI names, trademarks and logos (and any associated goodwill) belong to and shall remain the property of PRI. You may not bring, defend or settle any action in relation to the PRI name, trademarks or logos. Where PRI is involved in any claim or proceeding in relation to the PRI name, trademarks or logos, you will give your reasonable co-operation to PRI in relation to those claims or proceedings.

15.Confidentiality

15.1 The recipient of Confidential Information shall use reasonable care to protect against the accidental or unauthorised disclosure of the disclosing party's Confidential Information. Neither party shall disclose the other party's Confidential Information or make it available to any third party, save as expressly authorised by this Agreement.

15.2 You may disclose PRI's Confidential Information: (i) as expressly authorised by this Agreement; and (ii) to third-party contractors carrying out services on your behalf provided that:

15.2.1 such disclosure is necessary for the third-party contractors to provide the services on your behalf; and

15.2.2 those third-party contractors are subject to obligations of confidentiality no less binding or rigorous than the obligations of confidentiality set out herein.

15.3 PRI may disclose your Confidential Information: (i) as expressly authorised by this Agreement; and (ii) to third-party contractors carrying out services on PRI's behalf provided that:

15.3.1 such disclosure is necessary for the third-party contractors to provide the services on PRI's behalf; and

15.3.2 those third-party contractors are subject to obligations of confidentiality no less binding or rigorous than the obligations of confidentiality set out herein.

15.4 The recipient of Confidential Information may disclose Confidential Information, to the extent required by applicable law, if requested by a governmental or regulatory authority. Where practically possible and permitted by law, the disclosing party shall give the other party advance notice and may take into account the reasonable requests of the other party in relation to the disclosure.

16.Termination

16.1 This Agreement will commence when you click the "I Accept" button or start using the Reporting Tool and will remain in force unless and until terminated in accordance with this Agreement.

16.2 Either party may terminate this Agreement for convenience by giving no less than six months' written notice.

16.3 PRI may terminate this Agreement on 30 days' written notice to you if you have been De-listed or if you fail to provide information as reasonably requested by PRI.

16.4 You may terminate this Agreement on 30 days' written notice to PRI if you have been De-listed or further to clause 20.1 (PRI's right to vary the Agreement).

16.5 Without affecting any other right or remedy available to it, either party may terminate this Agreement with immediate effect by giving written notice to the other if:

16.5.1 the other party commits a material breach of any term of the Agreement where such breach is irremediable or, if such breach is remediable, where the other party fails to remedy that breach within a period of 30 days after being notified in writing to do so;

16.5.2 the other party takes any step or action in connection with its entering administration, provisional liquidation or any composition or arrangement with its creditors (other than in relation to a solvent restructuring), applying to court for or obtaining a moratorium under Part A1 of the Insolvency Act 1986, being wound up (whether voluntarily or by order of the court, unless for the purpose of a solvent restructuring), having a receiver appointed to any of its assets or ceasing to carry on business or, if the step or action is taken in another jurisdiction, in connection with any analogous procedure in the relevant jurisdiction;

16.5.3 the other party suspends, or threatens to suspend, or ceases or threatens to cease to carry on all or a substantial part of its business; or

16.5.4 the other party's financial position deteriorates to such an extent that in the terminating party's reasonable opinion the other party's capability to adequately fulfil its obligations under the Agreement has been placed in jeopardy.

16.6 On termination of this Agreement, you will cease to have access to the Reporting Tool.

16.7 The provisions of this Agreement will continue to apply following termination of this Agreement (however terminated) so far as may be necessary to give effect to the rights and remedies of you and PRI and which by their nature continue, such as the provisions regarding confidentiality, liability, intellectual property, applicable law and jurisdiction.

17.Indemnities

17.1 PRI shall indemnify you against any loss, costs or damage relating to or arising directly or indirectly from:

17.1.1 a claim from a third party that the PRI Materials infringe their Intellectual Property Rights;

17.1.2 a claim from an individual arising from PRI's breach of the Data Protection Legislation.

17.2 You shall indemnify PRI against any loss, cost or damage relating to or arising directly or indirectly from:

17.2.1 a claim from a third party that your Signatory Materials infringe their Intellectual Property Rights;

17.2.2 a claim from an individual arising from your breach of the Data Protection Legislation;

17.2.3 PRI's hosting or use of any data inputted by you in the Reporting Tool, including any loss, cost or damage relating to the data inputted being inaccurate.

18.Limitation of Liability and Indemnity

18.1 Nothing in this Agreement shall limit or exclude either party's liability for death or personal injury caused by negligence, fraud or fraudulent misrepresentation, any liability under the indemnity in clause 17.2 or any matter which may not be limited or excluded under applicable laws.

18.2 Subject to clause 18.1, neither party will be liable under or in connection with this Agreement whether in contract, tort (including negligence), breach of statutory duty or otherwise for any: (i) loss of profits, business, business opportunities, revenue, turnover, reputation or goodwill; (ii) loss or corruption of data or information; (iii) loss of anticipated savings or wasted expenditure; or (iv) indirect, incidental, consequential, exemplary, punitive or special damages.

18.3 Subject to clause 18.1, PRI's total aggregate liability arising under or in connection with this Agreement whether in contract, tort (including negligence), breach of statutory duty or otherwise shall not exceed the sum of £1,000 (one thousand pounds).

19.Personal Data

19.1 Each party will comply with the Data Protection Legislation.

19.2 The parties acknowledge that the factual arrangements between them dictate the classification of each party in respect of the Data Protection Legislation. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the parties envisage that each party shall be an independent Controller with respect to any Personal Data shared by you with us under this Agreement.

19.3 You will only provide Personal Data in your responses to the Indicators where necessary and you shall ensure that you have legitimate grounds under Data Protection Legislation to share such Personal Data with PRI for the uses envisaged under this Agreement.

19.4 You will inform any individuals whose Personal Data is shared with us, in a manner compliant with Data Protection Legislation, of the purposes of their data being processed by PRI and direct them to PRI's privacy policy at the URL https://www.unpri.org/privacy-policy.

20.Varying this Agreement

20.1 We may vary this Agreement from time to time. In the event that we intend to vary this Agreement, we will give you reasonable notice of any changes via the PRI Website. You will be entitled to terminate this Agreement if, acting reasonably, you consider that the changes are materially detrimental to your business.

21.General

21.1 Either party may subcontract its obligations under this Agreement to a third party. The subcontracting party shall remain liable for the acts or omissions of their subcontractor(s) as if they were their own.

21.2 Except as expressly stated in this Agreement, you may not assign, sublicense or otherwise transfer any rights or obligations under this Agreement or any part thereof without the prior consent in writing of PRI.

21.3 This Agreement is the entire agreement between the parties in relation to its subject matter.

21.4 This Agreement is not intended to, nor will it create any agency, partnership or joint venture. Neither party will hold itself out as being entitled to represent or bind the other party in any way.

21.5 This Agreement is governed by and will be construed in accordance with the law of England and the parties irrevocably submit to the exclusive jurisdiction of the courts of England and Wales.

21.6 Notices from you to us must be given by email to the appropriate address (reporting@unpri.org) and shall be deemed given when actually received by us provided that if received outside of business hours, notice shall be deemed given at 9:00am on the next business day.

21.7 Notices from us to you will be given to the email account through which you have received your login for the Reporting Tool unless you provide a new email account to us in writing.

21.8 Except where the context requires otherwise:

21.8.1 the singular includes the plural and vice versa; a reference to one gender includes all genders; words denoting persons include a natural person, corporate or unincorporated body (whether or not having separate legal personality); and

21.8.2 any words that follow 'include', 'includes', 'including', 'in particular' or any similar words and expressions shall be construed as illustrative only and shall not limit the sense of any word, phrase, term, definition or description preceding those words.

21.9 If you have complaint about the subject matter of this Agreement, you may make a complaint in accordance with any reasonable complaints procedure as notified to you by PRI.

☑ I accept the terms before commencing the 2026 survey.

PRIVATE

PRI Principle(s): General	Applies to	Relevant past indicators (2023-2025)	← Dependent on	→ Gateway to
OP 1 Organisational Profiling	**All signatories**	**OO 1**	**N/A**	**N/A**

What is the end date of the 12-month period you have chosen to report for PRI reporting purposes?

	Date	Month	Year
Year-end date of the 12-month period for PRI reporting purposes:	○ 01 ○ 02 ○ 03 ○ 04 ○ 05 ○ 06 ○ 07 ○ 08 ○ 09 ○ 10 ○ 11 ○ 12 ○ 13 ○ 14 ○ 15 ○ 16 ○ 17 ○ 18 ○ 19 ○ 20 ○ 21 ○ 22 ○ 23	○ 01 ○ 02 ○ 03 ○ 04 ○ 05 ○ 06 ○ 07 ○ 08 ○ 09 ○ 10 ○ 11 ◉ 12	○ 2024 ◉ 2025 ○ 2026

	○ 24 ○ 25 ○ 26 ○ 27 ○ 28 ○ 29 ○ 30 ◉ 31		

Additional context to your response(s): (Voluntary)

PRIVATE

PRI Principle(s): General	Applies to	Relevant past indicators (2023-2025)	← Dependent on	→ Gateway to
OP 3 Organisational Profiling	**All signatories**	**OO 4**	**OP 2**	**N/A**

What are your total assets under management (AUM) at the end of the reporting year? Provide the amount in USD.

You may choose to round your AUM figure to the nearest appropriate figure, which could be the nearest million or billion.

You may leave rows (B) and (C) blank if you do not have any subsidiaries as PRI signatories.

You may leave rows (D) and (E) blank if you do not have assets subject to execution, custody and/or advisory.

	Trillions	Billions	Millions	Thousands	Hundred	USD
(A) AUM of your organisation (including non-PRI signatory subsidiaries) minus the AUM reported in rows (B), (C), (D), (E).	000	001	995	471	482	1995471482
(B) AUM of subsidiaries that are PRI signatories and whose RI activities you wish to include in your 2026 report.	000	000	000	000	000	0
(C) AUM of subsidiaries that are PRI signatories and whose RI activities you wish to exclude from your 2026 report.	000	000	000	000	000	0
(D) AUM subject to execution and/or custody.	000	000	000	000	000	0
(E) AUM subject to advisory.	000	000	000	000	000	0

Additional context to your response(s): (Voluntary)

CI Gestión manages 15 UCIT funds, 13 of these classified as art. 8 SFDR. We also manage the 10 third-party pension plans owned by CI Vida, 10 classified as art. 8 SFDR.
The Annual Report is prepared taking into account the ESG processes and policies of the Investment Funds, which in turn are replicated in the Pension Plans together with the Proxy Voting and Engagement policies of the manager, which CI Vida has
also delegated to us to management and elaboration.

PRIVATE

PRI Principle(s): General	Applies to	Relevant past indicators (2023-2025)	← Dependent on	→ Gateway to
OP 4 Organisational Profiling	**All signatories**	**OO 5**	**OP 2**	**OP 5, OP 6, OP 8, ECB 1**

Provide a percentage breakdown of your total AUM at the end of the reporting year.

	(1) Internally managed	**(2) Externally managed**	**Total AUM percentage ((1) + (2))**
(A) Listed equity	29.85%	0%	
(B) Fixed income – corporate	43.79%	0%	
(C) Fixed income – SSA	26.36%	0%	
(D) Fixed income – securitised	0%	0%	
(E) Real estate	0%	0%	
(F) Private equity	0%	0%	
(G) Private debt	0%	0%	
(H) Infrastructure and other real assets	0%	0%	
(I) Other*	0%	0%	
Total			

	100.00%	0.00%	100.00%

Additional context to your response(s): (Voluntary)

The amount of cash, cash equivalents or reserve funds as of December 31, 2025 are included in the fixed income section.

PRIVATE

PRI Principle(s): General	Applies to	Relevant past indicators (2023-2025)	← Dependent on	→ Gateway to
OP 5 Organisational Profiling	**Public market assets (Listed equity, fixed income – corporate, fixed income – SSA)**	**OO 5.1, OO 5.3 LE, OO 5.3 FI**	**OP 4**	**IA 1, IA 2, IA 3, IDM 1, IDM 3, SAM 5**

Provide a further breakdown of your organisation's listed equity and/or fixed income AUM.

	(1) Listed equity - internal	(2) Fixed income - corporate - internal	(3) Fixed income - SSA - internal
(A) Active	100%	100%	100%
(B) Passive	0%	0%	0%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Additional context to your response(s): (Voluntary)

All listed equity and fixed income investments are managed through active investment strategies. ESG considerations are systematically embedded throughout the investment process, combining proprietary ESG scoring methodologies, external ESG research, exclusion criteria, ongoing monitoring and active ownership practices, including engagement and proxy voting where relevant.

PRIVATE

PRI Principle(s): General	Applies to	Relevant past indicators (2023-2025)	← Dependent on	→ Gateway to
OP 7 Organisational Profiling	**All signatories**	**N/A**	**OP 2**	**N/A**

Indicate if your organisation held any investments in hedge funds or undertook hedge fund investment strategies during the reporting year.

- ○ (A) Yes, we held investments in hedge funds or undertook hedge fund investment strategies during the selected reporting year.
- ● (B) No, we did not hold any investments in hedge funds nor undertake hedge fund investment strategies during the selected reporting year.

Additional context to your response(s): (Voluntary)

Hedge fund investments are subject to a differentiated ESG oversight approach reflecting the specific characteristics of these strategies, particularly their higher portfolio turnover and limited transparency. General exclusion criteria and automatic divestment obligations are not applied, as portfolio holdings may change significantly over short periods. Instead, these investments are reviewed at least annually as part of the product suitability assessment. Where material sustainability concerns are identified, Caja Ingenieros Gestión may initiate engagement with the external manager to better understand its ESG policies and investment practices. Relevant cases may also be escalated to the SRI Technical Committee, which assesses the materiality of the issue and determines any appropriate follow-up actions, including enhanced monitoring or other stewardship measures, where considered necessary.

PRIVATE

PRI Principle(s): General	Applies to	Relevant past indicators (2023-2025)	← Dependent on	→ Gateway to
OP 8 Organisational Profiling	**All signatories**	**OO 21**	**OP 4**	**IA 1, IA 2, IA 3, IA 4, IA 5, IDM 1, IDM 2, IDM 3, SIA 1, SAM 1, SAM 3**

The following table shows which asset classes are mandatory or voluntary for you to report on in asset class-specific indicators.

Where an asset class is voluntary, indicate if you wish to report on it. If an asset class represents 10% or more of your total AUM, you are required to report on that asset class for all asset class-specific indicators.

Applicable asset class	**(1) Mandatory to report** *(pre-filled based on previous responses)*	**(2) Voluntary to report** (2.1) Yes, I want to opt in to reporting on the asset class	**(2) Voluntary to report** (2.2) No, I want to opt out of reporting on the asset class
(A) Listed equity - internal	◉	○	○
(B) Fixed income – corporate - internal	◉	○	○
(C) Fixed income – SSA - internal	◉	○	○

Additional context to your response(s): (Voluntary)

PRI Principle(s): General	Applies to	Relevant past indicators (2023-2025)	← Dependent on	→ Gateway to
SLA 1 **Senior leadership accountability**	**All signatories**	**SLS 1 – Section 1**	**N/A**	**N/A**

- Why does your organisation engage in responsible investment?
- What is your organisation's overall approach to responsible investment?
- What major responsible investment commitment(s) have you made?

Caja Ingenieros Gestión considers responsible investment an integral part of its fiduciary duty and long-term investment philosophy. Environmental, social and governance (ESG) factors are financially material and are systematically integrated into investment analysis, risk management and stewardship activities to improve the identification of risks and opportunities, strengthen portfolio resilience and support sustainable long-term value creation for our members. As a cooperative asset manager, responsible investment also reflects our commitment to contributing to a more sustainable and resilient economy through responsible capital allocation and active ownership.

Responsible investment is embedded throughout our investment process. ESG analysis complements traditional financial analysis across security selection, portfolio construction, risk management and ongoing monitoring. The Internal Responsible Investment Committee (ISR Committee) oversees ESG integration, stewardship activities, proxy voting, proprietary methodologies and the monitoring of Principal Adverse Impacts (PAIs).

Our investment process systematically combines proprietary Corporate and Sovereign ESG methodologies with independent external ESG research provided by MSCI ESG Research, Sustainalytics, Bloomberg and Glass Lewis. Proprietary ESG Scoring models, SDG assessment methodologies and our CIMS environmental and social impact calculator support investment decisions, portfolio monitoring and sustainability reporting. Across all portfolios we apply exclusions covering controversial weapons and tobacco, while Article 8 strategies incorporate additional climate- and human rights-related exclusions together with enhanced ESG integration requirements under SFDR.

Active ownership is a core element of our investment philosophy. We exercise voting rights, engage directly with investee companies, participate in collaborative stewardship initiatives and communicate the rationale for our voting decisions. Where engagement does not achieve satisfactory progress, we apply a structured escalation framework that may include collaborative engagement, public stewardship actions, shareholder resolutions or divestment, where appropriate. Stewardship outcomes are incorporated into ongoing investment analysis and portfolio monitoring.

Caja Ingenieros Gestión has made several formal commitments supporting its responsible investment strategy, including becoming a PRI signatory in 2014, participating in collaborative initiatives such as CDP, Climate Action 100+, PRI Advance, PRI Spring and Tobacco Free Finance, systematically integrating ESG factors and PAIs in accordance with SFDR, maintaining more than 86% of its investment fund range classified under Article 8 SFDR, developing proprietary Corporate and Sovereign ESG methodologies and publishing comprehensive sustainability, stewardship and SFDR disclosures.

During 2025, we further strengthened our responsible investment framework by enhancing proprietary methodologies and stewardship processes. This progress was recognised through the renewal of our ESG Certification by MainStreet Partners and second place in the 2025 ALAS20 Responsible Investment Awards, reinforcing the consistency, transparency and effectiveness of our responsible investment approach.

PRI Principle(s): General	Applies to	Relevant past indicators (2023-2025)	← Dependent on	→ Gateway to
SLA 2 **Senior leadership accountability**	**All signatories**	**SLS 1 – Section 2**	**N/A**	**N/A**

- Describe and provide example(s) of your organisation's progress during the reporting year on the responsible investment issue you consider most relevant or material to your organisation or its assets.
- Reflect on your performance with respect to your organisation's responsible investment objectives, including any targets set out in the previous reporting cycle (if applicable), challenge(s) faced and alternative actions implemented if not able to achieve your objectives.

During 2025, Caja Ingenieros Gestión further strengthened the integration of responsible investment across its investment process, with particular emphasis on stewardship, active ownership and the continuous enhancement of its proprietary ESG methodologies. As a cooperative asset manager, our objective is to protect and create sustainable long-term value for our members by integrating financially material sustainability factors into investment decisions while exercising our responsibilities as an active owner.

Stewardship remained a cornerstone of our responsible investment approach. During the year, we participated in 179 shareholder meetings, representing 95% of the companies held in our portfolios, and communicated the rationale behind every voting decision directly to investee companies. Approximately 32% of all resolutions received either a vote against or an abstention, reflecting our willingness to challenge proposals that were not aligned with our expectations regarding corporate governance, executive remuneration, board independence, diversity and sustainability-related practices. Alongside proxy voting, we maintained direct dialogue with investee companies and actively participated in collaborative initiatives, including CDP Non-Disclosure, CDP Science Based Targets, PRI Spring and PRI Advance, contributing to collective engagement on climate change, biodiversity and human rights. Following the temporary reduction in shareholder meeting participation during 2024 due to portfolio restructuring, we successfully restored and further strengthened our stewardship activity, achieving our highest level of shareholder meeting participation to date.

During the reporting year, we also strengthened our responsible investment framework by enhancing our proprietary Corporate ESG Scoring methodology, refining the assessment of companies' contribution to the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), further developing our proprietary CIMS environmental and social impact calculator, and strengthening the integration of Principal Adverse Impact (PAI) monitoring into our investment oversight. At year-end, 66% of our corporate investments generated positive revenues linked to at least one SDG, while more than 86% of our investment fund range remained classified as Article 8 under SFDR.

In addition, we completed a comprehensive review of our proprietary Sovereign ESG Scoring methodology, which had not been updated for the previous four years. To reinforce its methodological robustness, we established a multidisciplinary working group comprising specialists from the Risk, Quantitative Investments and ESG teams. The revised methodology incorporates enhancements to better reflect the current geopolitical environment, including specific penalties for controversies and defence exposure, together with a normalization framework based on homogeneous country groups. These improvements provide a more robust, comparable and representative sovereign ESG assessment, further strengthening the integration of sustainability considerations into sovereign investment decisions.

During 2025, we successfully achieved our main responsible investment objectives, including those linked to the firm's variable remuneration framework. In particular, the target relating to the proportion of corporate investments rated MSCI BBB or above was fully achieved, with the average across managed funds reaching 86%, exceeding the 80% threshold required for full target achievement.

The reporting year also confirmed the increasing maturity of our responsible investment approach. Rather than expanding the number of ESG indicators, we focused on improving the quality, consistency and financial materiality of sustainability analysis. We strengthened the use of multiple external ESG data providers, enhanced SDG impact assessment and sustainability monitoring, and continued prioritising engagement and proxy voting to address governance weaknesses or data gaps before considering escalation measures or divestment.

Looking ahead to 2026, we will maintain the same responsible investment objectives established for 2025. Our priorities include consolidating the new Sovereign ESG Scoring methodology, further enhancing our proprietary ESG methodologies, strengthening stewardship outcomes, improving ESG data quality and maintaining the ESG indicators linked to our variable remuneration framework. Through these actions, we will continue strengthening the integration of financially material sustainability factors into our investment process while supporting sustainable long-term value creation for our members.

Add supporting files or documents (voluntary):

File 1:Web-Informe Sostenibilidad

PRI Principle(s): General	Applies to	Relevant past indicators (2023-2025)	← Dependent on	→ Gateway to
SLA 3 **Senior leadership accountability**	**All signatories**	**SLS 1 – Section 3**	**N/A**	**N/A**

Which specific steps have your organisation outlined to advance your commitment to responsible investment in the next two years?

Looking ahead to 2026 and 2027, Caja Ingenieros Gestión will continue strengthening its responsible investment framework in response to the changing ESG landscape. Over recent years, sustainable investing has evolved significantly. After a period characterised by rapid regulatory developments, a growing number of ESG indicators and increasing amounts of sustainability data, the market is entering a more mature phase. Investors are now placing greater emphasis on the quality, consistency and financial relevance of ESG information rather than simply increasing the volume of sustainability disclosures.

In our view, ESG has not become less relevant. On the contrary, climate change, biodiversity loss, social challenges and governance risks continue to have a significant impact on companies and financial markets. What has changed is the way these factors are analysed. The focus is shifting from quantity to quality, from broad commitments to measurable outcomes, and from ESG as a reporting exercise to ESG as a practical tool that supports better investment decisions and long-term value creation.

Against this background, Caja Ingenieros Gestión will focus on four strategic priorities.

Our first priority is to continue strengthening ESG integration throughout the investment process. We will further develop our proprietary Corporate ESG Scoring and Sovereign ESG Scoring methodologies to ensure they remain robust, transparent and aligned with changing market conditions. During 2025 we completed a comprehensive review of our Sovereign ESG Scoring model, introducing methodological improvements that better reflect the current geopolitical environment and provide more consistent and comparable country assessments. Going forward, we will continue refining both methodologies to improve their ability to identify financially material sustainability risks and opportunities and support investment decision-making.

Our second priority is to reinforce stewardship and active ownership. We believe that engagement with investee companies is one of the most effective ways to protect long-term shareholder value. We will therefore maintain a high level of participation in shareholder meetings, continue engaging directly with companies on material ESG issues and actively participate in collaborative stewardship initiatives. We will also continue integrating the outcomes of our engagement and voting activities into our investment analysis and portfolio monitoring, ensuring that stewardship remains fully connected to our investment decisions.

The third priority is to improve the quality, consistency and reliability of ESG information. High-quality data is essential for sound investment decisions. We will continue improving our proprietary methodologies supporting SFDR reporting, our Corporate ESG Scoring model, our SDG contribution assessment and our CIMS environmental and social impact calculator. At the same time, we will continue combining information from several leading ESG data providers with our own proprietary analysis in order to reduce data gaps and improve the robustness of our assessments. We also plan to further automate ESG monitoring processes, allowing more efficient portfolio oversight and better identification of sustainability risks over time.

Our fourth priority is to continue adapting our responsible investment framework to evolving regulation and market practices. Sustainable finance regulation continues to evolve, and investor expectations are becoming increasingly demanding. We will therefore continue updating our internal methodologies and investment processes to reflect new regulatory requirements and market best practices. Particular attention will be given to strengthening biodiversity analysis, climate-related assessments and the monitoring of Principal Adverse Impacts (PAIs). We will also maintain a high level of transparency through our sustainability reporting, stewardship disclosures and responsible investment policies.

Across all these priorities, our objective is clear. Rather than increasing the number of ESG indicators that we monitor, we will continue focusing on improving the quality, consistency and usefulness of ESG information. We believe that responsible investment has entered a new stage of maturity, where success depends on robust methodologies, reliable data, active ownership and disciplined investment analysis. By continuing to strengthen these areas, Caja Ingenieros Gestión aims to improve the management of financially material sustainability risks and opportunities while continuing to create sustainable long-term value for our members.

PRI Principle(s): 1, 2	Applies to	Relevant past indicators (2023-2025)	← Dependent on	→ Gateway to
PG 1 **Minimum Requirements**	**All signatories**	**PGS 1, PGS 2, PGS 3**	**N/A**	**PG 2, PG 3, PG 4, PG 5**

Which elements are included in your formal responsible investment policy(ies)?

Provide a link to your publicly available responsible investment policy(ies) or guidelines, where available.

☑ (A) Overall approach to responsible investment

Add link: (Voluntary)

File 1:Web-Nuestra Gestion
File 2:Web-Integración ASG
File 3:Web-Informe Sostenibilidad

☑ (B) Guidelines on environmental, social and/or governance issues

Add link: (Voluntary)

File 1:Web-Integración ASG
File 2:Web-Informe Sostenibilidad
File 3:Web-Informe Implicacion

☑ (C) Specific guidelines on climate change (may be part of guidelines on environmental issues)

Add link: (Voluntary)

File 1:Web-Metodologia
File 2:Web-Integración ASG

☑ (D) Specific guidelines on human rights (may be part of guidelines on social issues)

Add link: (Voluntary)

File 1:Web-Metodologia
File 2:Web-Integración ASG

☐ (E) Specific guidelines on nature (may be part of guidelines on environmental issues)

Add link: (Voluntary)

☑ (F) Stewardship with investees and/or assets

Add link: (Voluntary)

File 1:Web-Politica Voto
File 2:Web-Informe Implicacion

☑ (G) Stewardship with policy makers and/or other stakeholders

Add link: (Voluntary)

File 1:Web-Politica Voto
File 2:Web-Informe de Implicacion

☐ (H) External manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring

Add link: (Voluntary)

☐ (I) Engagement with clients and/or beneficiaries

Add link: (Voluntary)

☐ (J) Other responsible investment aspects not listed here

Specify: (Mandatory)

Add link: (Voluntary)

○ (K) Our organisation does not have a formal responsible investment policy and/or our policy(ies) do not cover any responsible investment elements

Additional context to your response(s): (Voluntary)

PRI Principle(s): 1, 2	Applies to	Relevant past indicators (2023–2025)	← Dependent on	→ Gateway to
PG 2 **Minimum Requirements**	**All signatories**	**PGS 8**	**PG 1**	**N/A**

What proportion of your assets under management (AUM) is covered by the below elements of your responsible investment policy(ies)?

	Combined AUM coverage of policy elements
(A) Overall approach to responsible investment (B) Guidelines on environmental, social and/or governance issues	☑ (1) For > 90 – 100% of our AUM ☐ (2) For > 50 – ≤ 90% of our AUM ☐ (3) For > 0 – ≤ 50% of our AUM

Additional context to your response(s): (Voluntary)

PRI Principle(s): 1, 2	Applies to	Relevant past indicators (2023-2025)	← Dependent on	→ Gateway to
PG 3 **Policies and governance**	**All signatories**	**PGS 9**	**PG 1**	**N/A**

What proportion of your asset under management (AUM) is covered by the below elements of your responsible investment policy(ies)?

	AUM coverage of policy elements
(A) Specific guidelines on climate change (may be part of guidelines on environmental issues)	◉ (1) For > 90 – 100% of our AUM ○ (2) For > 50 – ≤ 90% of our AUM ○ (3) For > 0 – ≤ 50% of our AUM
(B) Specific guidelines on human rights (may be part of guidelines on social issues)	◉ (1) For > 90 – 100% of our AUM ○ (2) For > 50 – ≤ 90% of our AUM ○ (3) For > 0 – ≤ 50% of our AUM
(D) Stewardship with investees and/or assets	◉ (1) For > 90 – 100% of our AUM ○ (2) For > 50 – ≤ 90% of our AUM ○ (3) For > 0 – ≤ 50% of our AUM
(E) Stewardship with policy makers and/or other stakeholders	◉ (1) For > 90 – 100% of our AUM ○ (2) For > 50 – ≤ 90% of our AUM ○ (3) For > 0 – ≤ 50% of our AUM

Additional context to your response(s): (Voluntary)

Proxy voting is limited to listed equity holdings, whereas stewardship activities, including engagement and collaborative initiatives, extend across the wider investment portfolio where appropriate.

PRI Principle(s): 1, 2	Applies to	Relevant past indicators (2023-2025)	← Dependent on	→ Gateway to
PG 4 **Minimum Requirements**	**All signatories**	**PGS 11, PGS 11.1**	**PG 1**	**N/A**

Which senior-level body(ies) or role(s) in your organisation have oversight over and accountability for implementing stated RI policy(ies) and responsible investment?

Specify what these senior-level body(ies) are and, where applicable, select the elements of your responsible investment policy(ies) that the senior-level body(ies) have oversight and accountability for implementing.

	(1) Board members, trustees, partnership committee or equivalent	(2) Senior executive-level (C-suite) staff or equivalent	(3) Investment committee or equivalent	(4) Head of department or equivalent	(5) We do not have formal oversight or accountability for this element	Specify the role(s)
(A) Overall approach to responsible investment	☑	☑	☑	☑	○	The Board of Directors approves the responsible investment strategy and ESG-related policies. The CEO oversees their implementation across the organisation. The Internal Responsible Investment Committee (ISR Committee) supervises the implementation of ESG policies, stewardship activities, proprietary methodologies and the integration of ESG factors into investment decisions. The Head of ESG

						develops, coordinates and reports on ESG policies, methodologies and their implementation.
(B) Guidelines on environmental, social and/or governance issues	☑	☑	☑	☑	○	The Board approves ESG-related policies and guidelines. The CEO oversees their implementation. The Internal Responsible Investment Committee (ISR Committee) supervises the application of ESG guidelines across investment decisions, proprietary methodologies and portfolio monitoring. The Head of ESG develops, updates and reports on ESG policies, methodologies and ESG integration.
(C) Specific guidelines on climate change (may be part of guidelines on environmental issues)	☑	☑	☑	☑	○	The Board approves climate-related investment policies. The CEO oversees their implementation. The Internal Responsible Investment Committee (ISR Committee) supervises climate risk integration, climate-related exclusions, Principal Adverse Impact monitoring and portfolio alignment with climate objectives. The Head of ESG develops, updates and reports on climate-related policies, methodologies and metrics.
(D) Specific guidelines on human	☑	☑	☑	☑	○	The Board approves human rights-related investment policies. The CEO

rights (may be part of guidelines on social issues)						oversees their implementation. The Internal Responsible Investment Committee (ISR Committee) supervises the integration of human rights considerations into investment decisions, stewardship activities and collaborative engagement initiatives. The Head of ESG develops, updates and reports on human rights policies and related methodologies.
(F) Stewardship with investees and/or assets	☑	☑	☑	☑	○	The Board approves the Stewardship and Voting Policy. The CEO oversees its implementation. The Internal Responsible Investment Committee (ISR Committee) supervises engagement activities, proxy voting, escalation measures and the integration of stewardship outcomes into investment decisions. The Head of ESG coordinates stewardship activities, voting procedures and stewardship reporting.
(G) Stewardship with policy makers and/or other stakeholders	☑	☑	☑	☑	○	The Board approves the organisation's stewardship framework. The CEO oversees its implementation. The Internal Responsible Investment Committee (ISR Committee) evaluates participation in collaborative initiatives, engagement with policy makers and

						relationships with other stakeholders. The Head of ESG coordinates these activities and reports on their implementation and outcomes.

Additional context to your response(s): (Voluntary)

The Internal Responsible Investment Committee (ISR Committee) is the central governance body overseeing ESG integration, stewardship activities, proxy voting, proprietary methodologies and the monitoring of key sustainability indicators, including Principal Adverse Impacts (PAIs). The Committee comprises the Chief Investment Officer, the Chief Compliance Officer and the Head of ESG, who acts as Chair. It reviews ESG-related developments, stewardship outcomes, regulatory changes and methodological enhancements before reporting material matters and policy recommendations to the CEO. The CEO subsequently reports significant ESG matters and proposed policy changes to the Board of Directors, which retains ultimate accountability for the responsible investment strategy and ESG-related policies. The Head of ESG is responsible for the day-to-day implementation of ESG policies, proprietary methodologies, sustainability reporting, stewardship activities and proxy voting.

PRI Principle(s): 1, 2	Applies to	Relevant past indicators (2023-2025)	← Dependent on	→ Gateway to
PG 5 **Minimum Requirements**	**All signatories**	**PGS 12**	**PG 1**	**N/A**

In your organisation, which internal or external staff are responsible for implementing your responsible investment policy(ies)?

Specify who these internal or external staff are, and where applicable, select the elements of your responsible investment policy(ies) that the selected internal or external staff are responsible for implementing.

	(1) Internal role(s)	(2) External investment managers, service providers, or other external partners or suppliers	(3) We do not have internal/external role(s) implementing this element	Specify the role(s)
(A) Overall approach to responsible investment	☑	☐	○	The ESG Team develops and maintains responsible investment methodologies and policies, Portfolio Managers integrate ESG factors into investment decisions and ongoing portfolio monitoring, while the ISR Committee oversees the consistent implementation of the framework across investment activities.
(B) Guidelines on environmental, social and/or governance issues	☑	☐	○	The ESG Team develops and maintains ESG policies, methodologies and monitoring processes. Portfolio Managers implement ESG guidelines throughout the investment process, supported by the Internal Responsible Investment Committee (ISR

				Committee), which reviews their consistent application across portfolios.
(C) Specific guidelines on climate change (may be part of guidelines on environmental issues)	☑	☐	○	The ESG Team develops and monitors climate-related policies, methodologies and metrics. Portfolio Managers integrate climate risks and opportunities into investment decisions, while the Internal Responsible Investment Committee (ISR Committee) reviews implementation, climate-related exclusions and ongoing portfolio monitoring.
(D) Specific guidelines on human rights (may be part of guidelines on social issues)	☑	☐	○	The ESG Team develops and implements the human rights framework through investment analysis, exclusions, engagement and ongoing monitoring. Portfolio Managers integrate these requirements into investment decisions, while the Internal Responsible Investment Committee (ISR Committee) reviews implementation and significant human rights issues.
(F) Stewardship with investees and/or assets	☑	☐	○	The ESG Team, Portfolio Managers and the Internal Responsible Investment Committee (ISR Committee) implement stewardship through proxy voting, company engagement, collaborative initiatives and escalation activities. Stewardship outcomes are incorporated into investment analysis and portfolio monitoring where appropriate.
(G) Stewardship with policy makers and/or other stakeholders	☑	☐	○	The ESG Team coordinates engagement with policy makers, collaborative investor initiatives and industry organisations. The Internal Responsible Investment Committee (ISR Committee) reviews participation in these initiatives, while senior management supports strategic engagement where appropriate.

Additional context to your response(s): (Voluntary)

Responsible investment is implemented through a cross-functional governance structure. The ESG Team develops proprietary methodologies, sustainability policies and stewardship activities. Portfolio Managers integrate ESG factors into investment decisions and portfolio monitoring. The Internal Responsible Investment Committee (ISR Committee), comprising the Chief Investment Officer, the Chief Compliance Officer and the Head of ESG, reviews implementation, stewardship activities, proxy voting, ESG methodologies and Principal Adverse Impact (PAI) monitoring. Internal Control supports compliance with responsible investment policies and regulatory requirements.

PRI Principle(s): 1, 2	Applies to	Relevant past indicators (2023-2025)	← Dependent on	→ Gateway to
PG 6 **Policies and governance**	**All signatories**	**PGS 11.2**	**N/A**	**N/A**

Does your organisation have governance processes or structures to monitor that your engagement with policy makers and/or standard setters is aligned with your commitment to the principles of the PRI, including those conducted by third parties on your behalf?

- (A) Yes, we have governance processes or structures to monitor that our engagement with policy makers and/or standard setters is aligned with our commitment to the PRI principles.

Describe how you do this: (Mandatory)

Caja Ingenieros Gestión has established formal governance processes to ensure that engagement with policy makers, regulators, standard setters and collaborative initiatives remains consistent with our responsible investment strategy, fiduciary duty and commitment to the Principles for Responsible Investment (PRI).

Participation in collaborative initiatives and public policy engagement is coordinated by the ESG Team and reviewed, prior to participation, by the Internal Responsible Investment Committee (ISR Committee), comprising the Chief Investment Officer, the Chief Compliance Officer and the Head of ESG. The Committee evaluates whether proposed initiatives are aligned with our responsible investment policies, stewardship objectives and the long-term interests of our members before participation is approved.

The outcomes of collaborative initiatives and public policy engagement are regularly reviewed by the ISR Committee and reported to the CEO and, where appropriate, to the Board of Directors. This governance framework helps ensure consistency between our public positions, stewardship activities, investment processes and fiduciary responsibilities.

Where engagement activities are conducted through external organisations or collaborative investor initiatives, including PRI, CDP, PRI Advance and PRI Spring, participation is limited to initiatives that are consistent with our responsible investment framework and stewardship policies. Relevant policy developments and stewardship outcomes are incorporated, where appropriate, into our ESG methodologies, investment analysis, stewardship priorities and the periodic review of responsible investment policies.

○ (B) No, we do not have governance processes or structures to monitor that our engagement with policy makers and/or standard setters is aligned with our commitment to the PRI principles.

Explain why: (Mandatory)

○ (C) Not applicable

Explain why: (Mandatory)

Additional context to your response(s): (Voluntary)

PRI Principle(s): 1, 2	Applies to	Relevant past indicators (2023-2025)	← Dependent on	→ Gateway to
PG 7 **Policies and governance**	**All signatories**	**PGS 47, PGS 47.1**	**N/A**	**N/A**

Does your organisation consider sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities where relevant? If so, which widely recognised framework does your organisation use?

All investment activities can be connected to positive and negative outcomes. An organisation's intended and unintended sustainability outcomes may include, for example, greenhouse gas emissions or actual and potential negative human rights outcomes for people.

- (A) Yes, we consider one or more specific sustainability outcomes connected to our investment activities.

(1) Climate

☑ (1) The UN Sustainable Development Goals and targets
☑ (2) The UNFCCC Paris Agreement
☐ (3) OECD frameworks: OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and Guidance on Responsible Business Conduct for Institutional Investors
☐ (4) Other relevant taxonomies

Specify: (Mandatory)

☑ (5) Other international, regional or sector/issue-specific framework(s) or proprietary framework

Specify: (Mandatory)

SBTi, CDP, MSCI

○ (6) We do not consider climate-related sustainability outcomes

(2) Human rights

☑ (1) The UN Sustainable Development Goals and targets
☑ (2) The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights
☑ (3) OECD frameworks: OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and Guidance on Responsible Business Conduct for Institutional Investors
☑ (4) The International Bill of Human Rights
☑ (5) The International Labour Organisation's Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work and the eight core conventions
☑ (6) Other international, regional or sector/issue-specific framework(s) or proprietary framework

Specify: (Mandatory)

UN Global Compact, PRI Advance and our proprietary stewardship framework.

○ (7) We do not consider human rights-related sustainability outcomes

(3) Nature

☑ (1) The UN Sustainable Development Goals and targets
☑ (2) The Convention on Biological Diversity's Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework
☐ (3) OECD frameworks: OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and Guidance on Responsible Business Conduct for Institutional Investors
☐ (4) Taskforce on Nature-related Financial Disclosures
☐ (5) International Finance Corporation Performance Standard 6: Biodiversity Conservation and Sustainable Management of Living Natural Resources
☐ (6) Partnership for Biodiversity Accounting Financials Standards
☑ (7) Other international, regional or sector/issue-specific framework(s) or proprietary framework

Specify: (Mandatory)

PRI Spring, SFDR Principal Adverse Impact indicators and proprietary methodologies supported by MSCI.

○ (8) We do not consider nature-related sustainability outcomes

○ (B) No, we do not consider sustainability outcomes connected to any of our investment activities

Explain why: (Voluntary)

Additional context to your response(s): (Voluntary)

Caja Ingenieros Gestión considers sustainability outcomes where these are financially material and can be robustly assessed. Positive sustainability outcomes are evaluated through proprietary methodologies measuring companies' contribution to the UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), our Corporate and Sovereign ESG Scoring methodologies and our CIMS environmental and social impact methodology. Negative sustainability outcomes are monitored through the Principal Adverse Impact (PAI) indicators required under the Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation (SFDR), covering climate change, biodiversity, human rights, governance and social factors.

Our assessment combines proprietary methodologies with external ESG research from providers such as MSCI ESG Research, Sustainalytics and Bloomberg. During the reporting year, we further strengthened our analytical framework by incorporating our proprietary Sovereign ESG Scoring methodology, enabling a more systematic assessment of sustainability risks and outcomes for sovereign issuers alongside our Corporate ESG Scoring model. While we disclose EU Taxonomy alignment in accordance with applicable regulatory requirements, the EU Taxonomy is currently used for regulatory reporting purposes rather than as a primary framework for assessing sustainability outcomes within our investment process.

These sustainability outcomes are integrated into our proprietary Corporate and Sovereign ESG Scoring methodologies, investment analysis, portfolio monitoring, stewardship activities and sustainability reporting. We continue to enhance our analytical capabilities as sustainability data evolves, ensuring that sustainability outcomes are consistently incorporated into investment decisions and active ownership activities, supporting long-term value creation for our members while fulfilling our fiduciary responsibilities.

Minimum Requirements Confirmation

We, the reporting organisation, confirm that we have read and understood the minimum requirements for investor signatories to the Principles for Responsible Investment, relating to indicators PG 1, PG 2, PG 4 and PG 5, and confirm that the information we have reported on these indicators is true and accurate.

☑ Confirm response to these indicators

PRI Principle(s): 1	Applies to	Relevant past indicators (2023-2025)	← Dependent on	→ Gateway to
IA 1 **Investment analysis**	**✓ Internally managed AUM,** **✓ Actively managed assets**	**LE 1, FI 1, HF 2**	**OP 5, OP 8**	**N/A**

Does your organisation have a process to identify financially material sustainability and governance-related issues across asset classes?

	(1) Listed equity	(2) Fixed income - corporate	(3) Fixed income-SSA
(A) Yes, we have a formal process to identify financially material sustainability and governance-related issues.	● (1) For > 90 - 100% of our AUM ○ (2) For > 50 - ≤ 90% of our AUM ○ (3) For > 0 - ≤ 50% of our AUM	● (1) For > 90 - 100% of our AUM ○ (2) For > 50 - ≤ 90% of our AUM ○ (3) For > 0 - ≤ 50% of our AUM	● (1) For > 90 - 100% of our AUM ○ (2) For > 50 - ≤ 90% of our AUM ○ (3) For > 0 - ≤ 50% of our AUM
(B) Yes, but we do not have a formal process; our investment professionals identify financially material sustainability and governance-related issues at their discretion.	○ (1) For > 90 - 100% of our AUM ○ (2) For > 50 - ≤ 90% of our AUM ○ (3) For > 0 - ≤ 50% of our AUM	○ (1) For > 90 - 100% of our AUM ○ (2) For > 50 - ≤ 90% of our AUM ○ (3) For > 0 - ≤ 50% of our AUM	○ (1) For > 90 - 100% of our AUM ○ (2) For > 50 - ≤ 90% of our AUM ○ (3) For > 0 - ≤ 50% of our AUM
(C) No, we do not have a formal or informal process to identify financially material sustainability and governance-related issues.	○	○	○

Additional context to your response(s): (Voluntary)

PRI Principle(s): 1	Applies to	Relevant past indicators (2023-2025)	← Dependent on	→ Gateway to
IA 2 **Investment analysis**	**✓ Internally managed AUM,** **✓ Actively managed assets**	**LE 10, FI 12, HF 9**	**OP 5, OP 8**	**N/A**

Does your organisation have formal processes in place to monitor whether its investments are involved in sustainability and governance-related controversies and/or incidents?

	(1) Listed equity	**(2) Fixed income - corporate**	**(3) Fixed income- SSA**
(A) Yes, we have formal process(es) to monitor whether our investments are involved in sustainability and governance-related controversies and/or incidents.	◉ (1) For > 90 – 100% of our AUM ○ (2) For > 50 – ≤ 90% of our AUM ○ (3) For > 0 – ≤ 50% of our AUM	◉ (1) For > 90 – 100% of our AUM ○ (2) For > 50 – ≤ 90% of our AUM ○ (3) For > 0 – ≤ 50% of our AUM	◉ (1) For > 90 – 100% of our AUM ○ (2) For > 50 – ≤ 90% of our AUM ○ (3) For > 0 – ≤ 50% of our AUM
(B) No, we do not have formal process(es) to monitor whether our investments are involved in sustainability and governance-related controversies and/or incidents.	○	○	○

Additional context to your response(s): (Voluntary)

PRI Principle(s): 1	Applies to	Relevant past indicators (2023-2025)	← Dependent on	→ Gateway to
IA 3 **Investment analysis**	**✓ Internally managed AUM,** **✓ Actively managed assets**	**PGS 41, PGS 44**	**OP 5, OP 8**	**N/A**

Does your organisation assess financially material risks and opportunities related to climate, human rights and/or nature?

	(1) Listed equity	(2) Fixed income - corporate	(3) Fixed income-SSA
(A) Yes, we assess financially material climate-related risks and opportunities.	◉ (1) For > 90 - 100% of our AUM ○ (2) For > 50 - ≤ 90% of our AUM ○ (3) For ≤ 50% of our AUM	◉ (1) For > 90 - 100% of our AUM ○ (2) For > 50 - ≤ 90% of our AUM ○ (3) For ≤ 50% of our AUM	◉ (1) For > 90 - 100% of our AUM ○ (2) For > 50 - ≤ 90% of our AUM ○ (3) For ≤ 50% of our AUM
(B) Yes, we assess financially material human rights-related risks and opportunities.	◉ (1) For > 90 - 100% of our AUM ○ (2) For > 50 - ≤ 90% of our AUM ○ (3) For ≤ 50% of our AUM	◉ (1) For > 90 - 100% of our AUM ○ (2) For > 50 - ≤ 90% of our AUM ○ (3) For ≤ 50% of our AUM	◉ (1) For > 90 - 100% of our AUM ○ (2) For > 50 - ≤ 90% of our AUM ○ (3) For ≤ 50% of our AUM
(C) Yes, we assess financially material nature-related risks and opportunities.	◉ (1) For > 90 - 100% of our AUM ○ (2) For > 50 - ≤ 90% of our AUM ○ (3) For ≤ 50% of our AUM	◉ (1) For > 90 - 100% of our AUM ○ (2) For > 50 - ≤ 90% of our AUM ○ (3) For ≤ 50% of our AUM	◉ (1) For > 90 - 100% of our AUM ○ (2) For > 50 - ≤ 90% of our AUM ○ (3) For ≤ 50% of our AUM
(D) No, we don't assess financial materiality of risks and opportunities related to climate, human rights and nature.	○	○	○

Additional context to your response(s): (Voluntary)

Caja Ingenieros Gestión systematically identifies, assesses and monitors financially material climate-, human rights- and nature-related risks and opportunities across all internally managed asset classes through its responsible investment framework. ESG considerations are integrated alongside traditional financial analysis using proprietary Corporate and Sovereign ESG Scoring methodologies, supported by independent external ESG research from MSCI ESG Research, Sustainalytics and Bloomberg.

Climate-related assessments include transition and physical risks, greenhouse gas emissions, fossil fuel exposure, climate scenario analysis and Principal Adverse Impact (PAI) indicators. Human rights considerations are assessed through international standards, human rights due diligence, controversies, exclusion criteria, stewardship activities and collaborative engagement initiatives. Nature-related risks and opportunities are evaluated through biodiversity-related indicators, selected PAIs, environmental controversies and proprietary environmental assessments where financially material.

The assessment of financially material sustainability risks and opportunities is systematically incorporated into security selection, portfolio construction, ongoing monitoring, stewardship activities and investment decision-making across listed equity, corporate fixed income and sovereign debt portfolios. Where financially material issues are identified, they may also inform engagement priorities and portfolio monitoring.

PRI Principle(s): 1	Applies to	Relevant past indicators (2023-2025)	← Dependent on	→ Gateway to
IA 4 **Investment analysis**	**Internally managed AUM**	**PGS 45**	**OP 8**	**N/A**

During the reporting year, which of the following climate-related metrics or variables affecting your investments did your organisation use?

☑ (A) Exposure to physical risks
- ◉ (1) For > 90 – 100% of our AUM
- ○ (2) For > 50 – ≤ 90% of our AUM
- ○ (3) For > 0 – ≤ 50% of our AUM

☑ (B) Exposure to transition risks
- ◉ (1) For > 90 – 100% of our AUM
- ○ (2) For > 50 – ≤ 90% of our AUM
- ○ (3) For > 0 – ≤ 50% of our AUM

☑ (C) Exposure to climate-related opportunities
- ◉ (1) For > 90 – 100% of our AUM
- ○ (2) For > 50 – ≤ 90% of our AUM
- ○ (3) For > 0 – ≤ 50% of our AUM

☑ (D) Emissions intensity
- ◉ (1) For > 90 – 100% of our AUM
- ○ (2) For > 50 – ≤ 90% of our AUM
- ○ (3) For > 0 – ≤ 50% of our AUM

☑ (E) Absolute emissions (financed emissions)
- ◉ (1) For > 90 – 100% of our AUM
- ○ (2) For > 50 – ≤ 90% of our AUM
- ○ (3) For > 0 – ≤ 50% of our AUM

☑ (F) Other
- ◉ (1) For > 90 – 100% of our AUM

○ (2) For > 50 – ≤ 90% of our AUM
○ (3) For > 0 – ≤ 50% of our AUM

Specify: (Mandatory)

Water footprint, portfolio alignment with the Paris Agreement and climate scenarios, fossil fuel exposure, energy mix indicators and additional environmental metrics incorporated into our proprietary ESG methodologies.

○ (G) We did not use any of the above metrics or variables

Explain why: (Mandatory)

○ (H) Not applicable

Explain why: (Mandatory)

Additional context to your response(s): (Voluntary)

Climate-related metrics are systematically integrated throughout our investment process through proprietary Corporate and Sovereign ESG Scoring methodologies supported by independent external ESG research, including MSCI ESG Research and Bloomberg. We monitor transition and physical climate risks, financed emissions, carbon intensity, fossil fuel exposure, portfolio alignment with the Paris Agreement and climate scenarios, water footprint and Principal Adverse Impact (PAI) indicators. These metrics support investment analysis, investment decision-making, portfolio monitoring, stewardship activities and ongoing risk management across our investment portfolios. Where financially material climate-related risks are identified, these metrics may also inform stewardship priorities and engagement activities.

PRI Principle(s): 1	Applies to	Relevant past indicators (2023-2025)	← Dependent on	→ Gateway to
IA 5 **Investment analysis**	**Internally managed AUM**	**N/A**	**OP 8**	**N/A**

Provide example(s) of how you have identified material sustainability and governance-related issues that could affect the value of your investments during the reporting year.

Asset class(es) the approach applies to	**Details (Mandatory)**
☑ (A) Listed equity ☑ (B) Fixed income – corporate ☐ (C) Fixed income – SSA ☐ (D) Fixed income – securitised ☐ (E) Real estate ☐ (F) Private equity ☐ (G) Private debt ☐ (H) Infrastructure and other real assets ☐ (I) Other	During the reporting year, we identified financially material governance risks through our ESG integration process, combining proprietary Corporate ESG Scoring methodologies, internal investment analysis and external ESG research, including governance assessments provided by MSCI ESG Research and Glass Lewis. In one investee company, we identified material weaknesses in board composition, including insufficient gender diversity, limited board independence and concerns regarding external auditor independence. These governance weaknesses were assessed against our internal Voting Policy and ESG methodology and were considered financially material because they could reduce board effectiveness, weaken oversight, increase governance risk and ultimately affect long-term shareholder value. As a result, we voted against the re-election of several directors and the external auditor and communicated our rationale directly to the company as part of our stewardship activities. The governance assessment was subsequently incorporated into our ongoing investment analysis, proprietary ESG scoring, portfolio monitoring and future stewardship priorities. The same governance assessment framework is also applied to corporate bond issuers, where governance risks contribute to issuer assessment, ongoing monitoring and engagement priorities despite the absence of shareholder voting rights.

Supporting files, documents or links (Voluntary)

File 1:Web-Politica Voto
File 2:Web-Metodologia
File 3:Web-Informe Sostenibilidad
File 4:Web-Informe Implicacion

PRI Principle(s): 1	Applies to	Relevant past indicators (2023-2025)	← Dependent on	→ Gateway to
IDM 1 **Investment decision-making**	**✓ Internally managed AUM,** **✓ Public market assets,** **✓ Actively managed assets**	**LE 6, FI 8, HF 6**	**OP 5, OP 8**	**N/A**

How do financially material sustainability and governance-related issues contribute to your stock and/or security selection, portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process?

	(1) Listed equity	**(2) Fixed income - corporate**	**(3) Fixed income- SSA**
(A) Financially material sustainability and governance-related issues contribute to the selection of individual assets and/or sector weightings.	● (1) For > 90 - 100% of our AUM ○ (2) For > 50 - ≤ 90% of our AUM ○ (3) For > 0 - ≤ 50% of our AUM	● (1) For > 90 - 100% of our AUM ○ (2) For > 50 - ≤ 90% of our AUM ○ (3) For > 0 - ≤ 50% of our AUM	● (1) For > 90 - 100% of our AUM ○ (2) For > 50 - ≤ 90% of our AUM ○ (3) For > 0 - ≤ 50% of our AUM
(B) Financially material sustainability and governance-related issues contribute to determining the holding period of individual assets.	● (1) For > 90 - 100% of our AUM ○ (2) For > 50 - ≤ 90% of our AUM ○ (3) For > 0 - ≤ 50% of our AUM	● (1) For > 90 - 100% of our AUM ○ (2) For > 50 - ≤ 90% of our AUM ○ (3) For > 0 - ≤ 50% of our AUM	● (1) For > 90 - 100% of our AUM ○ (2) For > 50 - ≤ 90% of our AUM ○ (3) For > 0 - ≤ 50% of our AUM
(C) Financially material sustainability and governance-related issues contribute to the portfolio weighting of individual assets.			

	● (1) For > 90 – 100% of our AUM ○ (2) For > 50 – ≤ 90% of our AUM ○ (3) For > 0 – ≤ 50% of our AUM	● (1) For > 90 – 100% of our AUM ○ (2) For > 50 – ≤ 90% of our AUM ○ (3) For > 0 – ≤ 50% of our AUM	● (1) For > 90 – 100% of our AUM ○ (2) For > 50 – ≤ 90% of our AUM ○ (3) For > 0 – ≤ 50% of our AUM
(D) Financially material sustainability and governance-related issues contribute to the country or region weighting of assets.	● (1) For > 90 – 100% of our AUM ○ (2) For > 50 – ≤ 90% of our AUM ○ (3) For > 0 – ≤ 50% of our AUM	● (1) For > 90 – 100% of our AUM ○ (2) For > 50 – ≤ 90% of our AUM ○ (3) For > 0 – ≤ 50% of our AUM	● (1) For > 90 – 100% of our AUM ○ (2) For > 50 – ≤ 90% of our AUM ○ (3) For > 0 – ≤ 50% of our AUM
(E) Other ways financially material sustainability and governance-related issues contribute to your stock and/or security selection, portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process.	● (1) For > 90 – 100% of our AUM ○ (2) For > 50 – ≤ 90% of our AUM ○ (3) For > 0 – ≤ 50% of our AUM	● (1) For > 90 – 100% of our AUM ○ (2) For > 50 – ≤ 90% of our AUM ○ (3) For > 0 – ≤ 50% of our AUM	● (1) For > 90 – 100% of our AUM ○ (2) For > 50 – ≤ 90% of our AUM ○ (3) For > 0 – ≤ 50% of our AUM
(F) Our stock and/or security selection, portfolio construction or benchmark selection process does not include the incorporation of financially material sustainability and governance-related issues.	○	○	○

(E) Other ways financially material sustainability and governance-related issues contribute to your stock and/or security selection, portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process - Specify: (Mandatory)

Financially material sustainability and governance-related issues contribute to our investment process throughout the entire investment lifecycle, including the definition of the investable universe, security selection, portfolio construction, ongoing monitoring and stewardship activities. Our investment decisions are supported by exclusion policies, proprietary Corporate and Sovereign ESG methodologies, controversy monitoring, climate-related metrics and Principal Adverse Impact (PAI) indicators.

Issuers that fail to meet our responsible investment requirements or become involved in severe ESG controversies may be excluded from the investment universe or become subject to enhanced monitoring, engagement, escalation measures or, where appropriate, divestment. ESG assessments incorporate indicators such as greenhouse gas emissions, water consumption, SDG contribution, governance quality, human rights considerations and other financially material sustainability metrics, which are systematically reviewed throughout the investment lifecycle. Stewardship outcomes are also incorporated into ongoing investment analysis and portfolio monitoring where relevant.

Additional context to your response(s): (Voluntary)

The relative importance of individual sustainability factors varies depending on the asset class, sector and issuer. Portfolio Managers combine proprietary ESG methodologies with fundamental financial analysis to assess financially material sustainability risks and opportunities, ensuring that ESG considerations form an integral part of investment decision-making rather than a separate screening exercise.

PRI Principle(s): 2, 3, 4	Applies to	Relevant past indicators (2023-2025)	← Dependent on	→ Gateway to
SPS 1 **Stewardship with policy makers and/or other stakeholders**	**All signatories**	**PGS 39**	**N/A**	**SPS 2**

Did your organisation engage with policy makers and/or other stakeholders directly or through third parties as part of your responsible investment approach during the reporting year?

☐ (A) Yes, we engaged with policy makers and/or other stakeholders directly.
☑ (B) Yes, we engaged with policy makers and/or other stakeholders through the leadership of or active participation in working groups or collaborative initiatives.
☑ (C) Yes, we were members of, supported or were in another way affiliated with third party organisations, including trade associations and non-profit organisations, that engage with policy makers and/or other stakeholders.
○ (D) We did not engage with policy makers and/or other stakeholders directly or indirectly during the reporting year beyond our membership in the PRI.

Explain why: (Voluntary)

Additional context to your response(s): (Voluntary)

During the reporting year, Caja Ingenieros Gestión participated in collaborative initiatives that contributed to policy dialogue, market standards and responsible investment practices through engagement with policymakers, regulators, standard setters and other stakeholders. These included PRI Spring, CDP Non-Disclosure, CDP Science Based Targets and Climate Action 100+, which promote collective engagement on climate change, biodiversity, human rights and responsible business conduct. Through our active participation in Spainsif, we also contributed to industry discussions and working groups on sustainable finance, including biodiversity-related topics and the development of responsible investment practices in Spain. These initiatives enable collaborative investor action on financially material sustainability issues that are more effectively addressed collectively than through individual engagement.

We also maintained active membership in organisations including PRI, Spainsif and Tobacco Free Finance, supporting the development of responsible investment practices, sustainable finance regulation and market standards. Participation in collaborative initiatives is reviewed by the Internal Responsible Investment Committee (ISR Committee) to ensure consistency with our responsible investment strategy, stewardship objectives and fiduciary responsibilities.

PRI Principle(s): 2, 3, 4	Applies to	Relevant past indicators (2023-2025)	← Dependent on	→ Gateway to
SPS 2 **Stewardship with policy makers and/or other stakeholders**	**All signatories**	**PGS 39.1**	**SPS 1**	**N/A**

During the reporting year, what methods did you, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, use to engage with policy makers and/or other stakeholders as part of your responsible investment approach?

☑ (A) We participated in sign-on letters.

Describe: (Voluntary)

We supported the Global Investor Statement to Governments on Climate Change, joining institutional investors in encouraging governments to strengthen climate policies, accelerate the transition to a low-carbon economy and provide greater policy certainty for long-term investment.

☐ (B) We responded to policy consultations.

Describe: (Voluntary)

☑ (C) We provided technical input via government- or regulator-backed working groups.

Describe: (Voluntary)

Through Spainsif's Community of Practice in Biodiversity, we contributed to technical discussions on biodiversity-related investment practices, nature-related financial risks and the integration of biodiversity considerations into responsible investment approaches.

☑ (D) We engaged policy makers and/or other stakeholders on our own initiative.

Describe: (Voluntary)

Caja Ingenieros Gestión actively participates in collaborative investor initiatives and industry organisations, including PRI, CDP, Climate Action 100+, PRI Advance, PRI Spring, Spainsif and Tobacco Free Finance. Through these initiatives, we contribute to discussions on climate change, biodiversity, human rights and sustainable finance while supporting the development of responsible investment practices, stewardship expectations and market standards. Participation also enables us to share practical experience, contribute to collective investor positions and incorporate relevant developments into our responsible investment framework.

☐ (E) Other methods

Describe: (Mandatory)

Additional context to your response(s): (Voluntary)

Engagement with policy makers and other stakeholders is primarily undertaken through collaborative investor initiatives and industry organisations, reflecting our belief that collective engagement is often more effective than individual action on systemic sustainability issues.
Participation is coordinated by the ESG Team and reviewed by the Internal Responsible Investment Committee (ISR Committee) to ensure consistency with our responsible investment strategy, stewardship objectives and fiduciary responsibilities.

PRI Principle(s): 1	Applies to	Relevant past indicators (2023-2025)	← Dependent on	→ Gateway to
SPS 3 **Stewardship with policy makers and/or other stakeholders**	**All signatories**	**PGS 49, PGS 49.1, PGS 50**	**N/A**	**N/A**

During the reporting year, what steps did your organisation take, in line with international standards, to prioritise human rights due diligence and/or remediate actual and potential negative outcomes for people connected to your investment activities?

☑ (A) We assessed our potential and/or existing investments to understand how this could connect our organisation to negative human rights outcomes.
- ☐ (1) Assessed the sector context
- ☑ (2) Assessed the country-level context
- ☑ (3) Assessed the human rights performance of our potential and/or existing investments
- ☑ (4) Monitored severe and emerging human rights controversies
- ☑ (5) Other

Specify: (Voluntary)

Human rights due diligence is integrated into our investment process through proprietary ESG methodologies supported by external ESG research from MSCI ESG Research and Sustainalytics. We assess issuers' human rights performance, monitor severe and emerging human rights controversies, apply exclusion criteria where minimum human rights requirements are not met and prioritise stewardship where improvements are considered achievable. We also support collaborative initiatives such as PRI Advance to encourage the implementation of the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights throughout companies' operations and value chains.

☐ (B) We directly/indirectly engaged with the relevant stakeholders and/or those potentially or already affected by entities connected to our investment activities to take their input into account for assessment.
- ☐ (1) Workers and their representatives (including trade unions)
- ☐ (2) Local communities and community-based organisations
- ☐ (3) Indigenous Peoples and their representatives
- ☐ (4) Customers and end-users and their representatives (including consumer protection agencies)
- ☐ (5) Civil society organisations, non-governmental organisations (NGOs) and environmental and human rights defenders

☐ (6) Other potentially or already affected stakeholder groups

☐ (C) We, directly or through our investees, enabled access to remedy for people affected by entities connected to our investment activities.

Describe: (Voluntary)

○ (D) We did not prioritise human rights due diligence and/or remediate actual and potential negative outcomes for people connected to any of our investment activities during the reporting year.

Explain why: (Voluntary)

Additional context to your response(s): (Voluntary)

Human rights due diligence is integrated throughout our investment process through proprietary ESG methodologies supported by external ESG research from MSCI ESG Research and Sustainalytics. We assess the human rights performance of issuers during investment analysis and ongoing portfolio monitoring, monitor severe and emerging human rights controversies, and apply exclusion criteria where issuers fail to meet our responsible investment requirements or are involved in severe human rights controversies.

Human rights considerations are also incorporated into our stewardship activities. We engage with investee companies on human rights, governance and broader social issues where appropriate and participate in collaborative initiatives such as PRI Advance, which supports the implementation of the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights throughout companies' operations and value chains.

For sovereign issuers, country-level assessments are supported by our proprietary Sovereign ESG Scoring methodology, which incorporates governance, social development and human rights-related indicators into the investment process. Human rights due diligence is systematically incorporated into ongoing investment monitoring, stewardship activities and the periodic review of portfolio holdings, ensuring that financially material human rights considerations inform investment analysis, stewardship priorities and investment decision-making.

PRI Principle(s): 2, 3, 4	Applies to	Relevant past indicators (2023-2025)	← Dependent on	→ Gateway to
SIA 1 **Stewardship with investees and/or assets**	**Internally managed AUM**	**OO 8, OO 9**	**OP 8**	**SIA 2, SIA 5, SIA 6**

Does your organisation conduct stewardship activities with its investees and/or assets?

	(1) Listed equity	**(2) Fixed income - corporate**	**(3) Fixed income-SSA**
(A) Yes, we conduct stewardship activities with investees and/or assets.	◉ (1) For > 90 - 100% of our AUM ○ (2) For > 50 - ≤ 90% of our AUM ○ (3) For > 0 - ≤ 50% of our AUM	◉ (1) For > 90 - 100% of our AUM ○ (2) For > 50 - ≤ 90% of our AUM ○ (3) For > 0 - ≤ 50% of our AUM	○ (1) For > 90 - 100% of our AUM ○ (2) For > 50 - ≤ 90% of our AUM ○ (3) For > 0 - ≤ 50% of our AUM
(B) No, we don't conduct stewardship activities with investees and/or assets.	○	○	◉

Additional context to your response(s): (Voluntary)

Stewardship activities are implemented using different approaches depending on the asset class. For listed equities, stewardship includes proxy voting, direct engagement, collaborative initiatives and escalation activities. For corporate fixed income, stewardship is conducted through issuer dialogue, ESG integration and collaborative engagement where appropriate. Proxy voting applies only to listed equity holdings, as fixed income securities do not confer voting rights.

PRI Principle(s): 2, 3, 4	Applies to	Relevant past indicators (2023-2025)	← Dependent on	→ Gateway to
SIA 2 **Stewardship with investees and/or assets**	**✓ Internally managed AUM,** **✓ Listed equity only**	**PGS 31**	**SIA 1**	**SIA 3, SIA 4**

For the majority of votes cast over which you have discretion, which of the following best describes your decision-making approach regarding shareholder resolutions (or that of your external service provider(s) if decision-making is delegated to them)?

- ◉ (A) We vote in favour of resolutions expected to advance progress on our stewardship priorities.
- ○ (B) We vote in favour of shareholder resolutions only as an escalation measure.
- ○ (C) We vote in favour of the investee company management's recommendations irrespective of whether the resolution is expected to advance our stewardship priorities.
- ○ (D) We do not vote on shareholder resolutions.
- ○ (E) Not applicable

Explain why: (Mandatory)

Additional context to your response(s): (Voluntary)

PRI Principle(s): 2	Applies to	Relevant past indicators (2023-2025)	← Dependent on	→ Gateway to
SIA 3 **Stewardship with investees and/or assets**	✓ **Internally managed AUM,** ✓ **Listed equity only**	**PGS 33**	**SIA 2**	**N/A**

After voting has taken place, do you publicly disclose your (proxy) voting decisions or those made on your behalf by your external service provider(s)? Are these disclosures listed by company and in a central source?

- [x] A) Yes, for > 90 – 100% of (proxy) votes.

Add link(s): (Mandatory)

File 1:Web-Politica Voto
File 2:Web-Informe de Implicacion

- [ ] (B) Yes, for >50 – ≤ 90% of (proxy) votes.

Add link(s): (Mandatory)

- [ ] (C) Yes, for > 0 – ≤ 50% of (proxy) votes.

Add link(s): (Mandatory)

Explain why you publicly disclose only ≤ 50% of (proxy) voting decisions: (Mandatory)

○ (D) No, we do not publicly report our (proxy) voting decisions company-by-company and in a central source.

Explain why: (Mandatory)

Additional context to your response(s): (Voluntary)

PRI Principle(s): 2	Applies to	Relevant past indicators (2023-2025)	← Dependent on	→ Gateway to
SIA 4 **Stewardship with investees and/or assets**	**✓ Internally managed AUM,** **✓ Listed equity only**	**PGS 34**	**SIA 2**	**N/A**

After voting has taken place, did your organisation and/or the external service provider(s) acting on your behalf, communicate the rationale for your voting decisions during the reporting year?

	(1) In cases where we abstained or voted against management recommendations	(2) In cases where we voted against a sustainability and governance-related shareholder resolution
(A) Yes, we publicly disclosed the rationale.	☑ (1) For > 90 – 100% of our votes ☐ (2) For > 50 – ≤ 90% of our votes ☐ (3) For > 0 – ≤ 50% of our votes	☑ (1) For > 90 – 100% of our votes ☐ (2) For > 50 – ≤ 90% of our votes ☐ (3) For > 0 – ≤ 50% of our votes
(B) Yes, we privately communicated the rationale to the company.	☑ (1) For > 90 – 100% of our votes ☐ (2) For > 50 – ≤ 90% of our votes ☐ (3) For > 0 – ≤ 50% of our votes	☑ (1) For > 90 – 100% of our votes ☐ (2) For > 50 – ≤ 90% of our votes ☐ (3) For > 0 – ≤ 50% of our votes
(C) We did not publicly or privately communicate the rationale, or we did not track this information.	○	○
(D) Not applicable	○	○

(A) Yes, we publicly disclosed the rationale Add link(s): (Mandatory)

File 1:Web-Informe Sostenibilidad
File 2:Web-Informe de Implicacion

Additional context to your response(s): (Voluntary)

PRI Principle(s): 2, 3, 4	Applies to	Relevant past indicators (2023-2025)	← Dependent on	→ Gateway to
SIA 6 **Stewardship with investees and/or assets**	**Internally managed AUM**	**PGS 40**	**SIA 1**	**N/A**

Provide example(s) of stewardship activity(ies) that your organisation conducted during the reporting period and how any activity is related to your stewardship objectives.

Asset class(es) the approach applies to	Details
☑ (A) Listed equity ☐ (B) Fixed income – corporate ☐ (C) Fixed income – SSA ☐ (D) Fixed income – securitised ☐ (E) Real estate ☐ (F) Private equity ☐ (G) Private debt ☐ (H) Infrastructure and other real assets ☐ (I) Other	During the reporting period, Caja Ingenieros Gestión used proxy voting as part of its stewardship strategy to promote stronger corporate governance and long-term sustainable value creation. Through our proprietary ESG methodologies, internal investment analysis and stewardship framework, we identified governance weaknesses in one investee company, including insufficient board independence, inadequate gender diversity and concerns regarding the independence of the external auditor. These issues were considered financially material due to their potential impact on board effectiveness, oversight and long-term shareholder value. In accordance with our Voting Policy, we voted against the re-election of several directors and the reappointment of the external auditor. Following the shareholders' meeting, we communicated the rationale for our voting decisions directly to the company, encouraging improvements in board composition, governance practices and accountability. The governance issues identified also informed our ongoing monitoring of the company and future stewardship priorities. This activity supported our stewardship objectives by promoting independent and diverse boards, strengthening corporate governance and accountability, and integrating stewardship outcomes into our ongoing investment analysis and portfolio monitoring. It also demonstrated how financially material governance considerations are incorporated into both active ownership and long-term investment decision-making.

Supporting files, documents or links (Voluntary)

File 1:Web-Informe Sostenibilidad
File 2:Web-Informe de Implicacion

PRI Principle(s): 5	Applies to	Relevant past indicators (2023-2025)	← Dependent on	→ Gateway to
CO 1 **Collaboration**	**All signatories**	**N/A**	**N/A**	**CO 2**

Does your organisation (or external service providers or external managers acting on your behalf) work with other investors, investees and/or other stakeholders to achieve your responsible investment objectives?

☑ (A) Yes, we participate in networks and/or platforms to share tools and resources to facilitate collaboration to advance our stewardship priorities or commitments.
☑ (B) Yes, we participate in networks and/or platforms to share tools and resources to increase understanding of responsible investment issues and commitments.
☑ (C) Yes, we participate in networks and/or platforms to share tools and resources to increase understanding of relevant emerging issues.
☑ (D) Yes, we work with other investors, investees and/or stakeholders in other ways.

Specify: (Mandatory)

We engage directly with investee companies through ongoing dialogue and by communicating the rationale for our proxy voting decisions. For indirect investments, we engage with external asset managers to discuss ESG policies and agree on follow-up actions where appropriate. We also collaborate with other investors through joint engagement initiatives, investor statements and collaborative stewardship programmes to promote stronger corporate governance, climate action and respect for human rights.

○ (E) No, our organisation does not work with other investors, investees and/or other stakeholders to achieve responsible investment objectives.

Additional context to your response(s): (Voluntary)

Collaboration forms part of our stewardship strategy and complements direct engagement, proxy voting and proprietary ESG analysis. Participation in collaborative initiatives is reviewed by the Internal Responsible Investment Committee (ISR Committee) to ensure alignment with our responsible investment policies and stewardship objectives.

PRI Principle(s): 5	Applies to	Relevant past indicators (2023-2025)	← Dependent on	→ Gateway to
CO 2 **Collaboration**	**All signatories**	**N/A**	**CO 1**	**N/A**

Provide example(s) of your organisation's involvement in collaborative initiative(s).

Select only the asset class(es) for which you indicated an AUM >0% in [OP 4]. If you are in fundraising and do not have assets to report, select 'Other'.

Asset class(es) the approach applies to	**Details (Mandatory)**
☑ (A) Listed equity ☑ (B) Fixed income – corporate ☐ (C) Fixed income – SSA ☐ (D) Fixed income – securitised ☐ (E) Real estate ☐ (F) Private equity ☐ (G) Private debt ☐ (H) Infrastructure and other real assets ☐ (I) Other	During the reporting period, Caja Ingenieros Gestión participated in several collaborative stewardship initiatives aimed at promoting improved corporate practices on climate change, biodiversity and human rights. Through the CDP Non-Disclosure Campaign and the CDP Science Based Targets Campaign, we joined collective investor engagement encouraging companies to improve environmental disclosure and adopt science-based greenhouse gas emissions reduction targets aligned with a 1.5°C pathway. We also participated in PRI Advance and PRI Spring, collaborating with institutional investors to promote stronger human rights due diligence, biodiversity protection and the integration of nature-related risks into corporate governance and business practices. These collaborative initiatives complement our direct engagement and proxy voting activities, enabling us to address systemic sustainability issues that are more effectively influenced through collective investor action than through individual engagement alone. Insights and outcomes from these collaborative initiatives are incorporated, where relevant, into our stewardship priorities, proprietary ESG methodologies, investment analysis and ongoing portfolio monitoring, strengthening the consistency of our responsible investment framework.

Supporting files, documents or links (Voluntary)

PRI Principle(s): 6	Applies to	Relevant past indicators (2023-2025)	← Dependent on	→ Gateway to
ECB 1 **Engagement with clients and/or beneficiaries**	**✓ Investment managers only,** **✓ Internally managed AUM**	**RE 2, INF 2, PE 2**	**OP 4**	**N/A**

Does your organisation offer clauses relating to responsible investment in contractual agreements with clients when requested?

- ◉ (A) Yes, we offer clauses relating to responsible investment in contractual agreements either by default or we include them when requested by clients.
- ○ (B) No, we do not offer clauses relating to responsible investment in contractual agreements.
- ○ (C) Not applicable

Explain why: (Mandatory)

Additional context to your response(s): (Voluntary)

PRI Principle(s): 2, 3, 4	Applies to	Relevant past indicators (2023-2025)	← Dependent on	→ Gateway to
ECB 2 **Engagement with clients and/or beneficiaries**	**All signatories**	**PGS 16**	**N/A**	**N/A**

What elements are included in your regular reporting to clients and/or beneficiaries?

☑ (A) Information on, or any changes in, policies related to responsible investment
☑ (B) Information on, or any changes in, governance or oversight related to responsible investment
☑ (C) Information on general sustainability and governance-related risks and opportunities affecting investments
☑ (D) Information on stewardship-related commitments and any progress made
☑ (E) Information on financially material climate-related risks and opportunities affecting investments
☐ (F) Information on financially material nature-related risks and opportunities affecting investments
☑ (G) Information on financially material human rights-related risks and opportunities affecting investments
☑ (H) Information on commitments to other sustainability issues and any progress made
○ (I) We do not include any of these elements in our regular reporting to clients and/or beneficiaries

Additional context to your response(s): (Voluntary)

Caja Ingenieros Gestión provides its members with regular responsible investment reporting through its Annual Sustainability Report, Stewardship and Voting Report, SFDR disclosures, Principal Adverse Impact (PAI) Statement, periodic fund reports and other regulatory disclosures. These reports provide information on responsible investment policies, governance arrangements, ESG integration, stewardship activities, climate-related risks and metrics, human rights considerations, Principal Adverse Impacts and progress against our responsible investment commitments.

Where relevant, our reporting also includes information on environmental indicators such as biodiversity- and water-related metrics, together with the evolution of proprietary ESG methodologies, sustainability indicators and portfolio-level ESG characteristics, supporting transparency and informed decision-making for our members.

PRI Principle(s): 3, 6	Applies to	Relevant past indicators (2023-2025)	← Dependent on	→ Gateway to
ECB 3 **Engagement with clients and/or beneficiaries**	**All signatories**	**PGS 45**	**N/A**	**N/A**

During the reporting year, which of the following climate-related metrics or variables did your organisation publicly disclose?

- ☐ (A) Exposure to physical risks
- ☑ (B) Exposure to transition risks
- ☑ (C) Exposure to climate-related opportunities
- ☑ (D) Emissions intensity
- ☑ (E) Absolute emissions (financed emissions)
- ☑ (F) Other

Specify: (Mandatory)

Portfolio alignment with the Paris Agreement, exposure to fossil fuels, renewable and non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy intensity across high climate impact sectors and climate-related Principal Adverse Impact (PAI) indicators.

○ (G) We did not disclose any of the above metrics or variables

Explain why: (Mandatory)

Additional context to your response(s): (Voluntary)

Climate-related information is publicly disclosed through our Annual Sustainability Report, SFDR disclosures, Principal Adverse Impact (PAI) Statement and periodic fund reporting. Reported information includes financed emissions (Scopes 1, 2), carbon intensity, exposure to fossil fuels, portfolio alignment with the Paris Agreement, energy consumption indicators and other climate-related metrics used to monitor climate risks and support investment decision-making.

PRI Principle(s): 6	Applies to	Relevant past indicators (2023-2025)	← Dependent on	→ Gateway to
ECB 4 **Engagement with clients and/or beneficiaries**	**All signatories**	**N/A**	**N/A**	**N/A**

Provide example(s) of investor disclosure you have provided for clients and/or beneficiaries during the reporting year.

Select only the asset class(es) for which you indicated an AUM >0% in [OP 4]. If you are in fundraising and do not have assets to report, select 'Other'.

Asset class(es) the approach applies to	**Details (Mandatory)**
☑ (A) Listed equity ☑ (B) Fixed income – corporate ☑ (C) Fixed income – SSA ☐ (D) Fixed income – securitised ☐ (E) Real estate ☐ (F) Private equity ☐ (G) Private debt ☐ (H) Infrastructure and other real assets ☐ (I) Other	During the reporting year, Caja Ingenieros Gestión provided clients and beneficiaries with comprehensive responsible investment disclosures through its Annual Sustainability Report, Stewardship and Voting Report, SFDR disclosures, Principal Adverse Impact (PAI) Statement and periodic fund reports. These disclosures provide transparent information on our responsible investment policies, governance framework, proprietary Corporate and Sovereign ESG methodologies, stewardship activities, voting outcomes and engagement initiatives. They also include portfolio-level sustainability metrics such as financed emissions, carbon footprint, portfolio alignment with the Paris Agreement, Principal Adverse Impact indicators, SDG contribution, human rights considerations and other climate- and sustainability-related metrics, enabling clients and beneficiaries to monitor the implementation and outcomes of our responsible investment approach over time.

Supporting files, documents or links (Voluntary)

PRI Principle(s): 6	Applies to	Relevant past indicators (2023-2025)	← Dependent on	→ Gateway to
ECB 5 **Engagement with clients and/or beneficiaries**	**All signatories**	**CBM 1**	**N/A**	**N/A**

How did your organisation verify the information submitted in this PRI report?

☐ (A) We conducted independent third-party assurance of selected processes and/or data related to the responsible investment processes reported in our PRI report, which resulted in a formal assurance conclusion.
☑ (B) We conducted an internal audit of selected processes and/or data related to the responsible investment processes reported in our PRI report.
☑ (C) Our board, trustees (or equivalent), senior executive-level staff (or equivalent), and/or investment committee (or equivalent) signed off on our PRI report.
☑ (D) Our responses in selected sections and/or the entirety of our PRI report were internally reviewed before submission to the PRI.
○ (E) We did not verify the information submitted in our PRI report this reporting year.

Explain why and how this will be addressed in the next reporting cycle: (Mandatory)

Additional context to your response(s): (Voluntary)

Minimum Requirements Confirmation

We, the reporting organisation, confirm that we have read and understood the minimum requirements for investor signatories to the Principles for Responsible Investment, relating to indicators PG 1, PG 2, PG 4 and PG 5, and confirm that the information we have reported on these indicators is true and accurate.

☑ Confirm response to these indicators

Final Submission

You have reached the final submission page.

To close and submit your 2026 PRI report click "Submit" at the bottom of the page. A confirmation email will be sent to the registered main contacts in your organisation.

You can download a copy of your responses from the Overview page.

You will not be able to amend your responses after the reporting window closes.

☐ I confirm I have reviewed my response and it is complete and correct. I understand I will not be able to amend my answers after the reporting window closes.